Sean O’Connor Presentation Critiques

Group 1: This was our presentation

Group 2: This group also had a topic involving the NCHHS. The pro and con section was good, and after that it basically became a presentation talking about the benefits of the Oracle platform after they talked about the other 2 options briefly. I do think they should have gone more in-depth with what the other 2 options could bring however as they spent most of the time talking about what they can’t do. This group I think had the best speaking quality and presentation skills out of the 3 groups that presented. I also think this group had the best responses to questions that were asked after the presentation ended. On the first slide I noticed that the Blue logo blended in with the blue background

Group 3: The presentation on Homeland security felt very polished in the content of the slides and the research that they did. However, the speaking and projection of the teams voices the audience was lacking. This led it to be the least-engaging of the 3 presentations. Which is a shame because this did seem like it had the most effort put into it! The research was good and the defining of Cloud First and DHS helped make the presentation more digestible to me as an audience member

Group 4: This presentation was interesting because unlike the other presentations this one was more like a pitch for a product. Overall, the presentation was not super strong. I personally would not invest in the company. The speaking quality was good. The content of the slides was serviceable, but I think one of the slides was re-used from an earlier presentation. So that was a little weird and I was not convinced that this product was even necessary by the end of the presentation. I think the presentation could have been improved if they spent more time working on why the product would be needed.

Group 5: Did not present